NITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov SEP 0 3 2008 CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/600,269 06/20/2003 5199-118 Dongwen Wang 7590 08/18/2008 **EXAMINER** Leslie Gladstone Restaino SOREY, ROBERT A 163 Madison Avenue P.O. Box 1989 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Morristown, NJ 07962-1989 3626 DELIVERY MODE MAIL DATE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PAPER

08/18/2008

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/600,2	<del>-</del>	WANG ET AL.	
		Examine	•	Art Unit	
		ROBERT	SOREY	3626	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi	cation appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence add	Iress
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN INSIDE OF THE OF THE MAN INSIDE OF THE MAN INSIDE OF THE MAN INSIDE OF THE MAN	AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evunication. tutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICA ent, however, may a rep ill expire SIX (6) MONTI dication to become ABA	ATION.  Oly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this con  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
2a) <u></u> 3) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 June 2003</u> .  a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
5) □ 6) □ 7) □ 8) ⊠ <b>Applicat</b> i 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the all 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-50 are subject to restriction is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	e withdrawn from contact and/or election red e Examiner. a) accepted or by the correction is required.	quirement. □ objected to by pe held in abeyanc red if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CF	
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2)  Notice (3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pimation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) sr No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948)	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application -	

Application/Control Number: 10/600,269 Page 2

Art Unit: 3626

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-5, drawn to Task Assignment (Scheduling), classified in class
   705, subclass 9.
- II. Claims 6-16, drawn to Ontology, classified in class 707, subclass E17.099.
- III. Claims 17-42, drawn to Record Management, classified in class 705, subclass 2.
- IV. Claims 43-50, drawn to Sorting, classified in class 707, subclass 7.
- 2. Inventions I, II, III, and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as specifying auxiliary tasks embedded within primary tasks. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as arranging execution task classes, structure element classes, and execution constraint classes in hierarchies to form subontologies. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as integrating the generalized guideline execution tasks. In the instant case, subcombination IV has separate utility such as presenting a user interface allowing the selection of project files. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together.

Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found

Application/Control Number: 10/600,269

Art Unit: 3626

allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Page 3

- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
  - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
  - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
  - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,269

Art Unit: 3626

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Page 4

- 5. The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.
- 6. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,269 Page 5

Art Unit: 3626

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT SOREY whose telephone number is (571)270-3606. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30AM to 5:00PM (EST).

- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Gilligan can be reached on (571)272-6770. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert Sorey/ Examiner, Art Unit 3626 15 August 2008 Application/Control Number: 10/600,269

Art Unit: 3626

/C Luke Gilligan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3626 Page 6

Organization I U UUUU Bldg./Room UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Organization

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Penalty For Private Use \$300

Official Business

AN EQUIAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

Hasiler

Maded From 22514 US POSTAGE SOC.500

CHARLE WITH

06/18/2008

THEL989 079629024 1507 11 08/28/08 FORWARD TIME EXP RIN TO SEND 200 CAMPUS DR STE 210 FLORHAM PARK NJ 07932-1007

RETURN TO SENDER

RECEIVED SEP 03 2008